CEB Report Risk Register

Risk Score Impact Score:1=Insignificant; 2=Minor; 3=Moderate; 4= Major; 5=CatastrophicProbability Score: 1=Rare; 2=Unlikely; 3=Possible; 4=Likely;5=Almost Certain

	No.	 Risk Description Link to Corporate Obj. 		S	Cause of Risk	Mitigation	Net Risk		Further Management of Risk: Transfer/Accept/Reduce/Avoid		Current Risk	
202	1	Grant funding awarded is not spent on the activity or items it was awarded for. (Strong, Active Communities)	1 2	P 1	Terms & conditions for grant awarded not clear.	Mitigating Control: Award letter & terms & conditions are clear and where necessary itemised. Monitoring forms Level of Effectiveness: Medium because information may not be returned	I 1	P 2	Action: Reduce Action Owner: Julia Tomkins Mitigating Control: Keep check list and close monitoring Report through Community & Partnership Scrutiny Control Owner: Julia Tomkins	Outcome Required: Clear and detailed terms and conditions signed by each organisation or group awarded a grant. All monitoring forms returned Milestone Date: on going	1	P
	2.	Risk to reputation (Strong, Active Communities)	3	3	Declined applicants unhappy with decision	Give clear reasoning as to why application was declined.	3	2	Action: Fair and transparent grants process evaluating each application against set criteria-Nov 2015 Action Owner: Julia Tomkins Mitigating Control: Work closing with media & communications team Feb/Mar 2016	Lessen impact of risk to reputation.		

								Control owner: Julia Tomkins		
3.	Commissioned organisations unable to deliver service or project they have been funded to deliver	3	3	Loss of other funding to continue delivery	Maintain good working relationship and be aware of funding cuts within the relevant sectors	3	2	Action: Reduce Provide support to source other funding Action owner Julia Tomkins & other commissioning officers Mitigating Control Maintain good working relationship	Continued delivery of services	